

THE NEW YORK TIMES

February 9, 2010

Lawyers Back Creating New Immigration Courts

By [JULIA PRESTON](#)

Responding to pleas from [immigration](#) judges and lawyers who say the nation's immigration courts are faltering under a crushing caseload, the [American Bar Association](#) called Monday for Congress to scrap the current system and create a new, independent court for immigration cases.

In a vote at its semiannual meeting in Orlando, Fla., the lawyers' organization endorsed a recommendation for a separate immigration court system that would be similar to federal courts that decide tax cases.

Behind the seemingly arcane proposal was a portrait of the nation's immigration courts besieged with new cases arising from an intensified federal crackdown on illegal immigration, and challenged by critics who doubt the courts' impartiality. The lawyers described the courts' condition in a report of more than 1,500 pages released last week.

The immigration courts are not courts at all in the way Americans generally think of them. They are part of the Department of Justice, not the federal judiciary, and the judges, although they wear robes and sit in formal courtrooms, are employees of the attorney general.

While Congress has debated since 2006 an overhaul of the immigration system that would include measures to give legal status to millions of illegal immigrants, proposals for fixing the courts have been largely ignored.

But the courts have become "an overwhelmed system choked by an exploding caseload," said Lawrence Schneider, an immigration lawyer at Arnold & Porter in Washington and a main author of the bar association report. The report was ordered 18 months ago by the association's immigration commission, a nonpartisan panel of lawyers who monitor immigration laws and recommend changes.

In 2008, the report found, Homeland Security agents detained 378,582 immigrants and deported more than 358,000. Last year, the report found, with enforcement continuing at a similar pace, 231 immigration judges heard more than 300,000 cases, an average of more than 1,200 for each judge, or about three times the load of federal district judges.

Judges are “overworked, frustrated, and feel like they are on a treadmill,” Mr. Schneider said.

Immigration cases have become more complex, especially asylum cases, where immigrants are asking to remain in the United States because they claim to fear life-threatening violence if they return home. With the pace of their work accelerating, immigration judges often feel asylum hearings are “like holding death penalty cases in traffic court,” said Dana L. Marks, an immigration judge in San Francisco and the president of the National Association of Immigration Judges.

Despite their relatively fast performance, immigration judges’ backlogs are growing, the report found, leaving more immigrants stranded in costly detention while they await hearings. As a result of the pressure for speedy decisions, the number of decisions that were appealed to the federal circuit courts has swelled, from 9 percent of decisions in 2002 to 26 percent in 2008, the report found.

In appeals courts in New York and California, states with large numbers of immigrants, cases from the immigration courts made up more than one-third of the dockets over the past five years, the report found. The increase in cases also brought more complaints from lawyers and advocates that judges working for the Justice Department were inclined to favor the government.

“There have been increasing concerns about the propriety of housing a neutral court in the law enforcement arm of the government,” Judge Marks said.

In the proposal adopted Monday, the bar association argued that immigration courts should be removed from the Department of Justice and set up as independent courts, still within the executive branch, under terms in Article I of the Constitution. The highest judges would be appointed by the president and approved by the Senate. The courts’ decisions would still be appealed to the federal appeals courts.

Judges and lawyers said the independent courts would have greater credibility and more power to seek funds from Congress, leading to more resources for judges, shorter terms of detention for immigrants and fewer appeals to federal circuit courts, which are highly costly.

In 2006, Attorney General [Alberto R. Gonzales](#), acknowledging the demands on the immigration courts, announced a plan to add 40 new judges. But as of late last year, only 9 had been named. Last week, Attorney General [Eric H. Holder Jr.](#) sought to financing to add 21 more judges.

[The American Immigration Lawyers’ Association](#), the immigration bar, said on Monday that it would review the [American Bar Association](#)’s proposal. Laura L. Lichter, a vice president of the association, said the courts needed more resources immediately, while creating a new court might take a long time.

Immigration law is constantly changing, and it's very difficult for most people to understand what's required and when. An experienced immigration attorney can prepare your petitions / applications; coach you through the USCIS interview; resolve issues on visas, green cards, and naturalization; and more. What does an immigration lawyer do? Most people hire immigration lawyers to help them quickly and accurately file their immigration paperwork. Because immigration attorneys have had years of experience filing for different immigrants, they understand the requirements and what to expect when interacting with USCIS and applying for US citizenship.Â

Green card: A lawyer can help you file an immigrant petition and apply for adjustment of status to get a green card. New York Immigration Lawyers. Browse By CitiesCounties. Find New York Immigration Lawyers by City.Â A divorce case is often helped by a bankruptcy or our tax law knowledge. We create Compare Save. Claimed Lawyer ProfileSocial Media.Â Ms. Cano has successfully represented immigrants in immigration courts in and out of New York State, and has filed appeals for the Board of Immigration Appeals for those in deportation proceedings. Ms. Cano is also an experienced criminal defense Compare Save. Immigration courts and services will largely pause during the coronavirus pandemic.Â The nation's immigration courts have stopped holding most hearings, the Justice Department has announced, with several locations closing entirely. The nationwide hearing postponement meant to prevent the spread of the novel coronavirus will apply to immigrants not currently in detention. Detained cases will proceed, meaning only 10 courts will shutter. The postponements will last through April 10. The partial closures follow repeated requests from immigration judges, Immigration and Customs Enforcement attorneys and immigration lawyers. The nation's backlog of active immigration court cases has surpassed the 1 million mark and has nearly doubled since President Donald Trump took office, a new analysis shows. In Ohio, 12,851 cases are pending in Cleveland's immigration court, which includes Columbus-area cases. That's up from 3,295 in 2009. While most people might look a few weeks into the future when scheduling appointments for work, Amy Bittner has put court dates on her calendar for 2022. The Columbus-based immigration lawyer already knows she'll have to make the 280-mile round trip to Cleveland to represent a client at a hearing in three years. "The backlog is a victim of this administration's priorities. There did not used to be this backlog," Bittner said.